CLAIMS

What I claim is:

- In a system for sending messages over a network between first and second computing units, method comprising the following steps:
- (a). computing r components of encrypting key e.sub.1, e.sub.2,..., e.sub.r and r components of decrypting key d.sub.1, d.sub.2,..., d.sub.r according to the following relations:

```
(e.sub.1).\ (d.sub.1) + (e.sub.2).\ (d.sub.2) + \ldots + (e.sub.r).\ (d.sub.r) = (k.sub.1).(p-1).(q-1) + 1 + (e.sub.2).\ (d.sub.2) + \ldots + (e.sub.r).\ (d.sub.2) + \ldots + (e.sub.2).\ (d.sub.2) + \ldots + (e.sub.2) + \ldots + (e.s
```

and
$$(d.sub.1)+(d.sub.2)+...+(d.sub.r) = (k.sub.2).(p-1).(q-1)$$
, where:

p and q are two prime numbers;

k.sub.1 and k.sub.2 are suitable integers; and

encrypting a message M into r cipher versions M.sub.1, M.sub.2, ..., M.sub.r using the r blinded components of the encrypting key e.sub.1 + t, e.sub.2 + t,...,e.sub.r + t as follows:

```
M.sub.1 = (M.sup.(e.sub.1 + t)) \bmod n
```

$$M.sub.2 = (M.sup.(e.sub.2 + t)) \bmod n$$

 $M.sub.r = (M.sup.(e.sub.r + t)) \mod n$, where:

n = p.q;

t is a random number generated on encrypting unit and discarded after encryption is complete;

mod represents the remainder left when left hand operand is divided by right hand operand;

or

computing the key components e.sub.1, e.sub.2,..., e.sub.r and d.sub.1, d.sub.2,..., d.sub.r according to the following relation and conditions:

```
(e.sub.1). (d.sub.1) + (e.sub.2). (d.sub.2) + ... + (e.sub.r). (d.sub.r) = (k.sub.1).(p-1).(q-1) + 1
```

and each of the values (e.sub.1), (e.sub.2),..., (e.sub.r) has a common factor with (p-1).(q-1), but there is no common factor for all (e.sub.1), (e.sub.2),..., (e.sub.r), (p-1).(q-1), where:

p and q are prime numbers;

k.sub.1 is a suitable integer; and

encrypting a message M into r cipher versions M.sub.1, M.sub.2, ..., M.sub.r using the r components of the encrypting key, e.sub.1, e.sub.2..., e.sub.r as follows:

 $M.sub.1 = M.sup.(e.sub.1) \mod n$

 $M.sub.2 = M.sup.(e.sub.2) \mod n$

.

 $M.sub.r = M.sup.(e.sub.r) \mod n$, where:

= 1	p.q
	= 1

p and q are two prime numbers;

- (b). delivering all the cipher versions of the message individually to the destination unit in source routing mode, or hop-by-hop routing mode with a small time gap between every two consecutive cipher versions;
- (c). collecting all the cipher versions at the destination unit;
- (d). computing r number of values N.sub.1, N.sub.2, ..., N.sub.r using r components d.sub.1, d.sub.2,...,d.sub.r of decrypting key, where:

N.sub.1= ((M.sub.1).sup.(d.sub.1)) mod n N.sub.2= ((M.sub.2).sup.(d.sub.2)) mod n

• • • • • • • •

.

 $N.sub.r = ((M.sub.r).sup.(d.sub.r)) \bmod n, \ where:$

n is the same composite number as used for encryption;

(e). reproducing the original message M as follows:

M = (N.sub.1). (N.sub.2)... (N.sub.r) mod n, where:

n is the same composite number as used for encryption.

2. The methods of claim1, comprising the steps of computing the key components.

- 3. The methods of claim1, comprising the steps of encrypting the message M into r cipher versions M.sub.1, M.sub.2, ..., M.sub.r.
- 4. The method of claim1, comprising the step of blinding the key components (e.sub.1), (e.sub.2),..., (e.sub.r) by adding a random number t and discarding it after encryption is complete.
- 5. The method of claim1, comprising the step of enforcing the relation (e.sub.1). (d.sub.1)+ (e.sub.2). (d.sub.2)+...+(e.sub.r). (d.sub.r) = (k.sub.1).(p-1).(q-1) + 1.
- 6. The method of claim1, comprising the step of enforcing the relation (d.sub.1)+(d.sub.2)+...+(d.sub.r) = (k.sub.2).(p-1).(q-1).
- 7. The method of claim1, comprising the step of enforcing the condition on the encrypting key components to have a common factor with (p-1) .(q-1), and not all of them have a common factor.
- 8. The method of claim1, comprising the step of computing the values N.sub.1, N.sub.2, ..., N.sub.r.
- The method of claim1, comprising the step of recovering the original message M from N.sub.1, N.sub.2, ..., N.sub.r.
- 10. A system of claim 1, wherein at lest one encrypted version of the message is bypassed to a secret host that is not exposed to the public while the remaining are directed to the main host, where the bypassed cipher versions are also collected from the secret host.
- 11. A system of claim 1, wherein redundant cipher versions of a message are generated and delivered to the destination, where they are identified and discarded before decryption.

- 12. A system of claim 10, wherein the cipher version received at a secret host is further encrypted in a symmetric key encryption method before sending it to the main host, where it is decrypted by the same symmetric key.
- 13. A system for sending messages over a communications channel, comprising any of the following two options:
- (a). an encoder to transform a message M into two or more cipher versions M.sub.1, M.sub.2, ..., M.sub.r as follows:

 $M.sub.1 = (M.sup.(e.sub.1 + t)) \mod n$

 $M.sub.2 = (M.sup.(e.sub.2 + t)) \mod n$

 $M.sub.r = (M.sup.(e.sub.r + t)) \mod n$, where:

t is a random number generated on encrypting machine;

e.sub.1, e.sub.2,..., e.sub.r are encrypting key components computed according to the relations:

(e.sub.1). (d.sub.1) + (e.sub.2). (d.sub.2) + ... + (e.sub.r). (d.sub.r) = (k.sub.1).(p-1).(q-1) + 1

and

(d.sub.1)+(d.sub.2)+...+(d.sub.r) = (k.sub.2).(p-1).(q-1);

p and q are prime numbers, and n = p.q;

k.sub.1 and k.sub.2 are suitable integers;

(d.sub.1), (d.sub.2),..., (d.sub.r) are components of the other key used by the recipient for decrypting the cipher versions into the original message;

a decoder coupled to receive the cipher versions M.sub.1, M.sub.2, ..., M.sub.r from the communications channel and to transform them back to the original message M, where M is a function of M.sub.1, M.sub.2, ..., M.sub.r and computed as follows:

N.sub.1= ((M.sub.1).sup.(d.sub.1)) mod n N.sub.2= ((M.sub.2).sup.(d.sub.2)) mod n

 $N.sub.r = ((M.sub.r).sup.(d.sub.r)) \mod n$

M = (N.sub.1). (N.sub.2).... (N.sub.2) mod n.

(b). an encoder to transform a message M into two or more cipher versions M.sub.1, M.sub.2, ..., M.sub.r as follows:

 $M.sub.1 = M.sup.(e.sub.1) \mod n$

 $M.sub.2 = M.sup.(e.sub.2) \mod n$

.

 $M.sub.r = M.sup.(e.sub.r) \mod n$, where:

e.sub.1, e.sub.2,..., e.sub.r are encrypting key components computed according to the following relation and conditions:

 $(e.sub.1). \ (d.sub.1) + \ (e.sub.2). \ (d.sub.2) + \ldots + (e.sub.r). \ (d.sub.r) = (k.sub.1). (p-1). (q-1) + 1 \\ (e.sub.1). \ (d.sub.2) + \ldots + (e.sub.r). \ (d.sub.2) + \ldots + (e.sub.r).$

and each of the values (e.sub.1), (e.sub.2),..., (e.sub.r) has a common factor with (p-1).(q-1), but there is no common factor for all the values (e.sub.1), (e.sub.2),..., (e.sub.r), and (p-1).(q-1), where:

p and q are two prime numbers; n = p.q;

k.sub.1 is a suitable integer; and

(d.sub.1), (d.sub.2),..., (d.sub.r) are decrypting key components used by the recipient for decrypting the cipher versions into the original message;

a decoder coupled to receive the cipher versions M.sub.1, M.sub.2, ..., M.sub.r from the communications channel and to transform them back to the original message M, where M is a function of M.sub.1, M.sub.2, ..., M.sub.r and computed as follows:

 $N.sub.1 = ((M.sub.1).sup.(d.sub.1)) \mod n$

 $N.sub.2 = ((M.sub.2).sup.(d.sub.2)) \mod n$

 $N.sub.r = ((M.sub.r).sup.(d.sub.r)) \bmod n$

M = (N.sub.1) .(N.sub.2) (N.sub.r) mod n.

14. A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions causing the computer to compute the following:

key components (e.sub.1), (e.sub.2),..., (e.sub.r) and (d.sub.1), (d.sub.2),..., (d.sub.r) according to the relations as follows:

(e.sub.1). (d.sub.1) + (e.sub.2). (d.sub.2) + ... + (e.sub.r). (d.sub.r) = (k.sub.1).(p-1).(q-1) + 1

and

$$(d.sub.1)+(d.sub.2)+...+(d.sub.r) = (k.sub.2).(p-1).(q-1), where:$$

p and q are prime numbers; and

k.sub.1 and k.sub.2 are suitable integers;

cipher versions of the original message M as follows:

 $M.sub.1 = (M.sup.(e.sub.1 + t)) \mod n$

 $M.sub.2 = (M.sup.(e.sub.2 + t)) \mod n$

.

 $M.sub.r = (M.sup.(e.sub.r + t)) \mod n$, where:

t is a random number generated on encrypting machine and discarded after encryption is complete.

original message as follows:

 $N.sub.1 = ((M.sub.1).sup.(d.sub.1)) \bmod n$

 $N.sub.2 = ((M.sub.2).sup.(d.sub.2)) \mod n$

 $N.sub.r = ((M.sub.r).sup.(d.sub.r)) \mod n$

M = (N.sub.1). (N.sub.2)... (N.sub.r) mod n

15. A computer-readable medium of claim 14, having computer-executable instructions that differ in computing key components and encrypting a message as follows:

computing key components (e.sub.1), (e.sub.2),..., (e.sub.r) and (d.sub.1), (d.sub.2),..., (d.sub.r) according to the relations as follows:

 $(e.sub.1). \ (d.sub.1) + (e.sub.2). \ (d.sub.2) + \ldots + (e.sub.r). \ (d.sub.r) = (k.sub.1). \\ (p-1).(q-1) + 1 + (e.sub.2). \ (d.sub.2) + \ldots + (e.sub.r). \\ (d.sub.2) + \ldots + (e.sub.2) + \ldots + (e.sub.r). \\ (d.sub.2) + \ldots + (e.sub.2) + \ldots + ($

and each of the values (e.sub.1), (e.sub.2),..., (e.sub.r) has a common factor with (p-1).(q-1), but there is no common factor for all the values (e.sub.1), (e.sub.2),..., (e.sub.r), and (p-1).(q-1), where:

p and q are two prime numbers; n = p.q;

k.sub.1 is a suitable integer; and

encrypting original message into r cipher versions as follows:

 $M.sub.1 = M.sup.(e.sub.1) \mod n$

 $M.sub.2 = M.sup.(e.sub.2) \mod n$

.

 $M.sub.r = M.sup.(e.sub.r) \mod n$, where:

t is a random number generated on encrypting machine and discarded after encryption is complete.